

1.1

- a) Density of states from the two valence bands can be added  
 b) to give the total density of states:

$$g_v(E) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{m_{hh}^*}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \sqrt{E_v - E} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{m_{lh}^*}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \sqrt{E_v - E}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{m_{dh}^*}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \sqrt{E_v - E} \quad \text{where} \quad m_{dh}^* = \left[ (m_{hh}^*)^{3/2} + (m_{lh}^*)^{3/2} \right]^{2/3}$$

1.2

- a)  $E(\mathbf{k}) = E_c + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{M}_e^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{k}$ . By rotating the coordinate system the matrix  $\mathbf{M}_e^{-1}$  can be diagonalized. In this rotated

coordinate system  $\mathbf{M}_e$  is =  $\begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix} m_0$ . From lecture notes,

the density of state effective mass =  $m_{de}^* = (0.4m_0 \times 0.3m_0 \times 0.2m_0)^{1/3}$   
 =  $0.2884 m_0$  and  $g_c(E) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{m_{de}^*}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \sqrt{E - E_c}$

1.3

a)  $n_i = \sqrt{N_c N_v} e^{-\frac{E_g}{2kT}}$   $N_c = 2 \left[ \frac{m_e^* kT}{2\pi \hbar^2} \right]^{3/2} = 4.3 \times 10^{17} / \text{cm}^3$

$N_v = 2 \left[ \frac{m_{dh}^* kT}{2\pi \hbar^2} \right]^{3/2} = 9.4 \times 10^{18} / \text{cm}^3$

$\left\{ (m_{dh}^*)^{3/2} = (m_{hh}^*)^{3/2} + (m_{lh}^*)^{3/2} \right\} \Rightarrow n_i = 2.44 \times 10^6 / \text{cm}^3$

- b) At  $T \approx 0$ ,  $E_f$  cannot be below  $E_d$  (otherwise it would mean that all dopants are ionized).  $E_f$  cannot be above  $E_c$  (since there should be no electrons in the conduction band because the donors are not ionized). So  $E_f$  can only be somewhere between  $E_c$  and  $E_d$ . Electron density is given by:

$$n = N_c e^{\frac{E_f - E_c}{kT}} \quad \left\{ \text{valid if } E_c - E_f \gg kT; \text{ which is true at } T \sim 0K \right\}$$

Also  $N_d^+ = \frac{N_d}{1 + 2 e^{\frac{E_f - E_d}{kT}}}$ . Charge neutrality  $\Rightarrow n = N_d^+ + p$

Forget about holes at  $T \sim 0K$  (i.e. no thermal electron-hole pair generation at  $T \sim 0K$ ).

$$\Rightarrow n \approx N_d^+ \Rightarrow N_c e^{\frac{E_f - E_c}{kT}} = \frac{N_d}{1 + 2 e^{\frac{E_f - E_d}{kT}}}$$

Since  $T \sim 0K$  and  $E_f > E_d \Rightarrow \frac{N_d}{1 + 2 e^{\frac{E_f - E_d}{kT}}} \approx \frac{N_d}{2} e^{-\frac{(E_f - E_d)}{kT}}$

$$\Rightarrow N_c e^{\frac{E_f - E_c}{kT}} = \frac{N_d}{2} e^{-\frac{(E_f - E_d)}{kT}}$$

In the limit  $T \rightarrow 0K$

$$E_f = \frac{E_c + E_d}{2} + \frac{kT}{2} \ln\left(\frac{N_d}{2N_c}\right)$$

$E_f \rightarrow \frac{E_c + E_d}{2}$  (i.e. exactly between the donor level and the conduction band edge).

c) As  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , thermal electron-hole pair generation puts far more electrons in the conduction band than the fully ionized donor atoms. So  $n \approx p$  (forget about doping as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ ).  $n \approx p \Rightarrow E_f = \frac{E_c + E_v}{2} + \frac{kT}{2} \ln\left(\frac{N_v}{N_c}\right)$ . (i.e. at the same position as if the material was undoped).

d)  $n = N_c \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} F_{1/2}\left(\frac{E_f - E_c}{kT}\right)$ .  $N_d^+ = \frac{N_d}{1 + 2 e^{\frac{E_f - E_d}{kT}}}$

$\neq n \approx N_d^+$  (forget holes at this high doping).

when  $E_f = E_c$   $n = N_c \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} F_{1/2}(0) = \frac{N_d}{1 + 2 e^{\frac{E_c - E_d}{kT}}}$

$$\Rightarrow N_d = \left(1 + 2 e^{\frac{E_c - E_d}{kT}}\right) \times N_c \times \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \times F_{1/2}(0)$$

$$F_{1/2}(0) = 0.678 \quad \Rightarrow \quad N_d = 1.3 \times 10^{18} / \text{cm}^3$$

1.4

$$a) \psi(z) \begin{cases} \sin(k_z z) & |z| < \frac{L}{2} \\ \cos(k_z z) & |z| > \frac{L}{2} \end{cases} \begin{cases} e^{-k|z|} & |z| > \frac{L}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2 k_z^2}{2m_e^*} = e\phi - \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_e^*}$$

For the sine solutions;

$$\cot\left(k_z \frac{L}{2}\right) = -\frac{k}{k_z} = -\frac{1}{k_z} \sqrt{\frac{2m_e^* e\phi}{\hbar^2} - k_z^2}$$

No solution if  $\frac{2m_e^* e\phi}{\hbar^2} < \left(\frac{\pi}{L}\right)^2$

b) For the Cosine Solutions:

$$\tan\left(\frac{k_2 L}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{k_2} \sqrt{\frac{2m_e^* e\phi}{\hbar^2} - k_2^2}$$

No third confined level if  $\frac{2m_e^* e\phi}{\hbar^2} < \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2$ .

c)  $m_e^* = 0.1 m_0$   $\phi = 100$  meV  $L = 10$  nm

Since  $\left(\frac{\pi}{L}\right)^2 < \frac{2m_e^* e\phi}{\hbar^2} < \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2 \Rightarrow$  two confined levels.

From graphical analysis:  $\frac{k_2 L}{2} \approx 1.12$  for the first level.

and  $\frac{k_2 L}{2} \approx 2.15$  for the second.

$$\Rightarrow E_1 = \frac{\hbar^2 k_2^2}{2m_e^*} = 19.2 \text{ meV}$$

$$\Rightarrow E_2 = \frac{\hbar^2 k_2^2}{2m_e^*} = 70.6 \text{ meV}$$

